

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,019	12/02/2004	Roberto Avallone	Q90911	2233	
23373 7590 04/05/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			HUGHES, DEANDRA M		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3663		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/500,019	AVALLONE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Deandra M. Hughes	3663	

·	Deandra M. Hughes	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
I.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			<b>(</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			;
Claim(s) rejected:			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it before on on the data of films a N	ation of Annual will a	at he entered
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.		Gundlu H	/ // //
		Primary Examiner	_

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 102(b) rejection of claims 1-4, 16-19 thereby placing claims 1-8, 10-20, and 23-24 in condition for allowance over the art. However, the Double Patenting rejection of claim 16 still stands since the dependency of the claim is irrelevant to the Double Patenting rejection (see applicant's Remarks dated 3/29/07; pg. 18).